

## **2026 Council Strategic Planning Workshop Action Items**

- **Review of the 10-Year Financial Sustainability Plan, Biennial Budget Outlook, and Councilmanic Revenue Options**
  - Council not interested in pursuing the 0.1% criminal justice sales tax at this time but is interested in keeping this option open for future (2027/2028) consideration. Council is aware that this sales tax increase must be authorized no later than June 30, 2028.
  
- **Discussion of a Municipal Pool Facility Ballot Measure.**
  - Council interested in moving forward with fall 2026 ballot measure for the pool.
  - Not interested in staff conducting community survey.
  - Want the purpose of the MPD to be for a pool facility *and* a recreation center facility (provides for future recreation center on the site of the pool facility; not interested in multiple facilities).
  - Would like to set the max MDP rate up to \$0.75 but would like staff to consider options potentially less than \$0.75 that still provide coverage for future fluctuations in assessed valuation. They understand however that it may not make sense to do something less.
  
- **Panel Discussion of How the Built Environment and Transportation Investments are Key to Reaching the City's Climate Goals**
  - No direction provided during discussion.
  
- **Discussion of the Cost and Work Planning to Increase Our Tree Canopy to 40% by 2030**
  - Council understood that it is not realistic to reach this tree canopy goal by 2030, but that the City is working to achieve this goal over time.
  - Council provided direction, which staff said is in alignment with their current workplan, to continue to plant trees in the ROW and on other public property within budget and staff capacity. Staff is committed to this and can use the growing tree fund to help accomplish this.
  - There was not consensus about whether it made sense to conduct the annual tree canopy review (at a cost of roughly \$2,500), given that it provided limited results and utility. Council provided direction that this could be discussed and debated by Council at a future budget discussion.
  
- **Discussion of Monetizing the Value of the City's Right-of-Way Street Trees**
  - Some Councilmembers interested in adding the monetized value of trees impacted by a capital project to an arborist report on a project level basis.
  - Other Councilmembers did not see the value in doing this.
  - No Councilmembers identified support for doing the monetization on a citywide basis for all street trees in the City's inventory.

- **Discussion of Opportunities to Increase Compost Diversion in Multi-Family Housing**
  - Council provided direction that they are interested in moving to a mandatory compost service/separation ordinance.
  - Some Councilmembers interested in more education and outreach first before making mandatory.
  - Staff said that this could be looked at as part of the next solid waste contracting process.
  
- **Discussion of implementing Restricted Parking Zones (RPZs)**
  - Council provided direction to have staff continue to monitor the need for RPZs around the two light rail station areas and to have staff come to Council in the future if it feels like ROW utilization is a point where RPZs in these areas are needed.
  - Council did not discuss RPZs in any other areas of the City.
  
- **Discussion of the City Supporting the Development of a Permanent Homeownership Affordable Housing Project**
  - Council understood that the City doesn't have funding or surplus property to catalyze a project like this at this time, even with the \$100,000 in seed funding allocated in the mid-biennial budget.
  - Councilmember Ademasu stated that she would continue to explore philanthropy and foundations to see if any external funding could be provided to the City for land acquisition for this type of project.
  
- **Discussion of Short-Term Rental Regulations**
  - Council supportive of staff recommendation and is not moving forward with short-term rental regulations.
  - Chris and Betsy interested in staff reaching out to external providers to see if the estimated number of short-term rental units are accurate (staff quoted likely 60-100 in Shoreline) and at what estimated number would it make sense start a regulation program.
  
- **Discussion of Fircrest Cultural Assets**
  - Council provided direction to have PCD staff follow up with the Shoreline Preservation Society to let them know if the City receives demolition permits for the military barracks on the Fircrest property.
  - Council also discussed whether the City was opposed to this project idea, and the Council stated that they are not opposed.
  - Council confirmed that this project would not be a City run, managed or funded project, but that the City could provide the information above to support their project.