

## Pollie McCloskey

---

**From:** John Norris  
**Sent:** Monday, September 28, 2020 8:26 AM  
**To:** Pollie McCloskey  
**Subject:** FW: Potential amendments

Pollie, can you include mention of these amendments in the Mayor/Deputy Mayor meeting agenda for the 5<sup>th</sup>? Thanks!

John

---

**From:** Chris Roberts <croberts@shorelinewa.gov>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 27, 2020 10:20 AM  
**To:** Debbie Tarry <dtarry@shorelinewa.gov>  
**Cc:** John Norris <jnorris@shorelinewa.gov>; Pollie McCloskey <pmccloskey@shorelinewa.gov>  
**Subject:** Potential amendments

John,

Here are some potential questions and amendments I would like to have staff develop on the commercial zone regulations.

- 1) In the use table, Apartment is being replaced by Multifamily. I just want to be sure the change to "multifamily" does not have any effects on other uses in the code or developments. I think it shouldn't because group residences are separate, but I don't think multifamily is defined in the code, except in 20.40.465, but then multifamily applies only to certain areas of the city.
- 2) In 20.40.465(B) I would like an amendment prepared striking the second sentence.
- 3) I would like an amendment prepared to limit tobacco/vape shops and adult use facilities to the same distance requirement as marijuana operations currently exist in the code in 20.40.465(B).
- 4) I would like clarification of the parking requirement in 20.40.465(c). Would the planning director still have discretion to lower parking requirements if the building would otherwise qualify for parking reductions. Is the proposal an actual reduction in number of spaces for differing uses.
- 5) Depending on the answers to number 4, I would like staff to prepare amendments to retain the ability for the planning director to reduce parking requirements, lower the ratio to 1 per 500 sq. feet, and eliminate the commercial parking requirement.
- 6) I would like an amendment prepared to 20.50.250(C)(3) to clarify that only those facilities solely associated with the residential use are included in the 25%. The commercial parking requirement speaks about lobbies that could be accessible to ground floor businesses and I could imagine a fitness center being a perk for living in the facility but open to the public as well.

Thank you,

Chris

---

Chris Roberts (he/him)  
Councilmember, City of Shoreline  
[croberts@shorelinewa.gov](mailto:croberts@shorelinewa.gov)  
(206) 391-2733